

From: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]@curia.europa.eu]
Sent: 26 June 2014 18:18
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Notes on Academic Writings - Spain v Parliament and Council (Case C-146/13)

Dear [REDACTED],

First of all I would like to thank you for your messages.

I am sorry to have not responded immediately, but I had to make a research before providing you an accurate information.

The annotation to which you refer (the doctrine article of Prof. Tilmann) had been removed from our internal data base and should, for this reason, not appear on the InfoCuria site. Our IT team is working on this problem for the moment and in the very near future this information will not be available.

We had considered that the timing of publication and the content of this specific article was not appropriate as the case is still pending.

The rule of including an academic article relevant to a pending case is that a) it refers to a specific case (and not to the general doctrine) and that it doesn't comment sensitive procedural issues, nor reveals any position or observations of the parties. It has to adopt a general approach of the of the case of law. Unfortunately we don't dispose of any written rules.

All kind of academic articles (pending cases included) are selected by the jurist administrators of the Court's Library (on the basis of the language), and the final choice, in case of doubt, depends on the evaluation of the jurist administrator of the Research and Documentation Directorate who is responsible for the specific Member State.

Concerning the selection of the Spanish articles, do you have a specific question? In this case I will be able to direct you towards the right reference person.

Kind regards, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Direction de la recherche et documentation
Bâtiment TA/23LB0029
Tél. [REDACTED]